

e en of C her ne Ck p ff A orney

A er c n C L er es n on

On

The Geo oc on Pr cy nd Ure nce Ac

Before he & se 4 d c ry 4 co ee on Cr e Terror s nd

o e nd eer y

M y

| II. | Current Technologies Allow for Detailed Tracking of Americans' Movements. | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

The es gener on of ce y ro ers no y co er n re s s s
y nne y spec f c ro d y p r & r

h s or c nfor on nd he eng h of e h s nfor on s s ored depend on he

n nited St tes ones C
For h A end en se rch oco rred hen he go ern

he pre e Cor he d h

ones C o o yor conderring do onso ed Chefudge oz ns of he Conformation of Appe s for he N n h Creb h se or ed on h s cr c po n

don h n h os peope n he n ed es & d gree h he p ne h so eone ho e es h s c r p r ed n h s dr e y & s de he door of h s ho e n es peope o cr under nd ch de ce h r c he eh c e s e ery o e en nd r ns h nfor on o o s r ngers There s so e h ng creepy ndu n A er c n & c ndes ne ndu nderh nded eh or To hose of s ho h e ed under o r n reg e here s n eer e fee

cr n s nor o e he ho e s fe h en for eg c es s done so h n o ec e nd gh e gh he need o n de h pr cy n order o enforce he The r gh of pr cy s dee ed oo prec & s o en & s o he d scre on of hose hose o s he de ec on of cr e nd he rres of cr n s Po er s he dy h ng nd h s ory sho s h he po ce c ng on he r o n c nno e & s ed

McDon d nited St tes

The rr n nd pro e c 4 se red re en s re espec y por n here g en he e r ord n ry n & s eness of odern d y e ec ron c & r e nce he hese red re en s he o cos of co ec ng nd s or ng geo oc on nfor on & d per he po ce o con & s y r c ny dr er nd ce phone ser

The rr n red re en poses no gre 4 rden on he s e nder he GP Ac o nng rr n s for geo oc on nfor on d d e e en ess 4 rdenso e h n o nng he for e ephone re ps nd he e pec on of pr cy p c ed n p c ng c s on d c phone s no gre er h n he e pec on h he s e no sen rr n on or c zen s e ery o e en con d s y for on hs on end

n dd on congress on c on o red re pro e c u se rr n for oc on r c ng en oys despre d u ppor fro co p n es nd org n z ons fro cross he po c spec u nc u d ng A zon he A er c n L r ry Assoc on A er c ns for efor A t he C p gn for L er y C zens Ag ns Go ern en s e he Co pe e En erpr se ns u e he Cen er for De ocr cy nd techno ogy Con er Ac on eB y F ce oo Freedo or s Goog e P BM he nfor on techno ogy no on Fund on n e he L er y Co on he Ne sp per

5,500 pages of documents from over 200 local laterement agencies. The responses show that while cell phone tracking is routine, fagencies consistently obtain judicial warrants. The overwhelming majority of the morentlago law enforcement agencies that provided documents engaged in at least softherment tracking. Most law enforcement agencies explained that they trackphelines to investigate crimes. Some said they tracked cell phones only in emergentias example to locate a missing person. Only ten said they have never tracked believe.

Many law enforcement agencies track cell phonets of track quently. For example, based on invoices from cell phone companies, it appthat Raleigh, N.C. tracks hundreds of cell phones a year. The practice isosomon that cell phone companies have manuals for police explaining what data the practice store, how much they charge police to access that data, and what officers to get it.

Most law enforcement agencies do not obtain was rate track cell phones, and the legal standards used vary widely. For example ce in Lincoln, Neb obtain GPS location data on telephones without demonstrating able cause. Police in Wilson County, N.C. obtain historical cell tracking data we it is "relevant and material" to an ongoing investigation, a standard lower than probabuse. Yet some police departments do protect privacy by obtaining was abased upon probable cause when tracking cell phones. For example, police in the location of Hawaii, Wichita, and Lexington, Ky. demonstrate probable cause and rotat warrant when tracking cell phones. If these police departments can prote to the both ic safety and privacy by meeting the warrant and probable cause requirements, threshy other agencies can as well.

Moreover, it is not just state and local law e78439()]TJ -213.9()-0.479431(o)-0.9565557(e)3

