

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK



After extensive negotiations, defendants agreed that neither they nor their agents would seek to impose any legal sanction or penalty on the ACLU (or its attorneys) for filing publicly a redacted Complaint and redacted Motion for Leave to File Case Under Seal, or for otherwise disclosing in any form the information contained in the redacted



on the public docket. Rec. Doc. 8.

After the Court issued its April 28 Order, the ACLU issued a press release and

--- Common shout its shallongs to Section 2709 Plaintiffs have

a constitutional right to communicate and receive the specified information. See Video 



Although this is true in all cases, this litigation is of particular public concern. This case

motions be open to the public. Of course plaintiffs recognize that certain documents in this case will need to be redacted for inclusion on the public docket, but such documents

	the decrease there through stimulations.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2 1 h. 1	
<i>1</i>	
•	
· +	
. În	
<u> </u>	i and the second se
<u>_</u>	
	TWILL represent to documente that are currently
r	the parties or motions to the Court. With respect to documents that are currently
ـ ما	E .
r en en	. <u>y-</u>
<u> </u>	
C. W. Les	•
	<del></del>
	1
, <del> </del>	
<u> </u>	