85C3ACLC CONFERENCE

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

* * *

2

	2	
	85C3ACLC CONFERENCE	
1	(In chambers)	
2	MR. SKINNER: Let me introduce everybody, your Honor.	
3	We are joined by three folks from the Central Intelligence	
4	Agency, two attorneys, Andrew Peterson and Polly Smothergill,	
5	and Wendy Hilton. Ms. Hilton is an associate information	
6	review officer. Ms. Dorn, who is the individual you met a few	
7	years ago who had executed all of our declarations, she's moved	
8	on to another position in the agency. So Ms. Hilton is here in	
9	Ms. Dorn's stead, to basically explain to you if you have any	
10	questions about harm to national security that may result.	
11	THE COURT: Wendy Hilton?	
12	MS. HILTON: That's me.	
13	THE COURT: And Andy Peterson.	
14	MR. PETERSON: Yes.	
15	THE COURT: Mr. Skinner has a way of speaking faster	
16	than I have a way of comprehending. You're	
17	MS. HILTON: Wendy Hilton.	
18	MS. SMOTHERGILL: Polly Smothergill.	
19	MR. LANE: I think you know me.	
20	THE COURT: Yes. And this is Sheila Nagaraj, my law	
21	clerk. Under the protocol, you are going to show me documents.	
22	Only I will look at the documents. Ms. Forman is not cleared.	
23	MR. SK	

25 THE COURT: One copy here or do you have a copy? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 5 85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE** 1 MR. SKINNER: We have two other copies here. 2 THE COURT: So I can look at this? 3 MR. SKINNER: You can look at that. That's yours. We 4 have duplicates of that. What you also asked us to bring later 5 on when we were discussing this protocol, you had said plaintiffs, why don't you identify another 20 documents in case there is more that I want to look at when I do this. We have 8 those as well in separate binders organized the same way. 9 THE COURT: Let me proceed with these. I'll describe 10 the binder. It is identified as Top Secret, and it is national security counsel information. 11 12 MR. SKINNER: That was there, but it just fell off. 13 THE COURT: The title is "In Camera Ex Parte Review of 14 Classified CIA and OLC Documents." The first one is item 61. 15 MR. SKINNER: That's correct, your Honor. This is the 16 presidential authorization.

24

what it is, and this one is not.

8 THE COURT: And intra-agency deliberations within the 9 CIA. And that's basis of the classification.

MR. PETERSON: Paragraph 64 is about the previous document. The description of item number 61 begins halfway down the first page of the paragraph 66.

THE COURT: And goes on.

13

14

17

18 19

4

5

6

78

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

21

22

MR. PETERSON: Correct.

15 THE COURT: What I said is not pertinent. It goes on 16 for several pages.

MR. SKINNER: Yes. That's the information that we've released publicly to identify this document and the exemptions that apply.

THE COURT: According to the Vaughn declaration, in response to the request for the presidential authorization to set up detention facilities outside the United States, and/or outlining interrogation methods that could be used against detainees, no document was found bearing a signature of

25 President Bush. Except for, I'm sorry, one document showed SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

* * *

7

85C3ACLC CONFERENCE

authorization to set up detention facilities outside the United
 States, but no document was found that reflected a signature of
 President Bush and pertained to interrogation methods.

MR. SKINNER: That's correct, your Honor. This is the general authority for the CIA's program. No document was located from the president that actually outlined specific interrogation methods that would be used. The plaintiffs' request was for a document that addressed both subjects. So in the Vaughn, we tried to make clear while we found the document addressing the general authority, we didn't find anything more specific with regard to interrogation methods.

THE COURT: All right. The exemption that is claimed is that it relates to intelligence activities, intelligence sources, and intelligence methods and foreign relations and foreign activities of the United States, which has been classified top secret. There are other claims as well.

So I am going to look at this for purposes of segregability.

MR. SKINNER: That's fine, your Honor. That's the start of the document right there.

THE COURT: May I ask, Mr. Skinner, with the exception of the bottom paragraph which starts with the words "in light

23	of," why everything else in this document is not segregable and
24	therefore producible?
25	MR. SKINNER: I think Mr. Peterson can probably let
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	(212) 805-0300
	* * *
	8
	85C3ACLC CONFERENCE
1	me try, he can fill in holes if I miss some of these things.
2	THE COURT: I'll direct it to him, but you can direct
3	it to anyone else on your team.
4	MR. SKINNER: Okay. First of all, this communication
5	we feel is covered by the presidential communications
6	privilege, so all of this stuff, even if not classified in its
7	own right, would be subject to the presidential communicatiF1u7

what these documents looked like, they would be able to produce 8 forgeries of them. 9 THE COURT: Well, there could be a retyping using ordinary font. The page does not have to be a page that bears 10 the identifying insignia. And in the reproduction that I 11 12 contemplate, that aspect that's sensitive would be changed. As 13 to the subject, let that be included in what is redacted. 14 MR. SKINNER: We have already -- I -- if we look back at how it's been publicly described --15 16 THE COURT: May I say a word, Mr. Skinner? 17 MR. SKINNER: Sure. 18 THE COURT: I think what then comes out is 19 substantially the same as that which is described in the Vaughn

correctly --6

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

7 THE COURT: The description at the top is redacted.

8 The markings intrinsic to the page, redacted.

MR. SKINNER: I guess we would have to reproduce the page. I don't think we could redact these markings.

MS. HILTON: You wouldn't be able to read anything if you redacted these.

THE COURT: Ms. Hilton, what could we do that would produce information without disclosing the inherent quality of the page?

MS. HILTON: Well, I believe what you said, your Honor, about it would be like creating a copy. Although I think Sean probably knows the FOIA Act better, but my understanding was they had to be original documents, that we didn't use substitutions or summaries.

THE COURT: I would authorize the use of a substitute because it is inherently onerous and too difficult and perhaps impossible to produce the original. It is like a best evidence 24 rule. If you can justify secondary evidence, instead of best 25 evidence, that becomes an authorization.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

13

85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE**

MR. SKINNER: If we were talking about the first sentence, and trying to look for specific language that could be released, as I indicated this --

THE COURT: Second line. MR. SKINNER: -- second line starting with this word. Going to here. That itself is particularly sensitive because it indicates the type of document. And then this is sensitive because it indicates the purpose of the document, and the fact and the scope of it. At the end of it all we'd end up with is that which is essentially meaningless and nothing in addition to what we've already acknowledged, which is it is a presidential authorization.

THE COURT: I'd like Ms. Forman and Ms. Nagaraj to leave the room for a few minutes and we'll have a discussion off the record and try to summarize it.

(Discussion off the record)

16 17 THE COURT: We've had a five minute off-the-record 18 discussion. And the government has explained to me why the 19 cover memorandum and the underlying memorandum -- was it 14 20 pages?

- 21 22 MR. SKINNER: It is -THE COURT: Should be entirely classified and exempt

three of these were documents that were created by the CIA and 6 were provided to the Office of Legal Counsel in the course of 7 requesting legal advice from the Office of Legal Counsel. 8 THE COURT: And the privilege is? 9 MR. SKINNER: The privileges are attorney/client 10 communication, I believe deliberative process for all of them, and then they're also classified. But I think just generally 11 12 speaking, if you look at these, these were facts that were being provided to OLC for OLC's consideration in the course of 13 providing legal advice back to the CIA. I'll also just note for the record with regard to document number 12 --15 THE COURT: Which one? 16 MR. SKINNER: That's the next one. Why don't I wait 17 until you get there. I'll let you get through document number 18 19 11 first. 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 (Pause) 22 THE COURT: Is the heading classified? 23 MR. PETERSON: Your Honor, yes. It is simply because 24 the CIA has never acknowledged that this exists. 25 MS. HILTON: A document that spells that out. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 16 85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE** 1 THE COURT: The first word? 2 MR. SKINNER: Yes, the first word is probably the most 3 sensitive. 4 MS. HILTON: Your Honor, what we try to avoid in 5 releasing this is giving Al Qaeda knowledge about what might happen should they be detained. Things are prescribed in a 7

certain manner or in a certain way that may help them prepare a resistance strategy.

THE COURT: It is very hard for me to accept that as a 10 matter of intuitive understanding. First, because it would normally be expected that such a document as this would exist, 11 and second because given the number of people who have passed 12 through the system that the United States has used, and have 13 returned to their countries of origin, the information that they report must be widely known. 15

16 However, according to the law as I understand it, and lacking any basis on my own to assess these matters, I have to 17 18 give deference to the CIA as the agency that is on the line.

And giving such deference, I rule in your favor.

8

9

MS. HILTON: Is it okay
THE COURT: I just have to say that one would think
that such a document as this would exist, entitled very much
like this one is entitled.
MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, we appreciate that. I think
this ties into just generally speaking the fact that no details
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300
* * *
17
85C3ACLC CONFERENCE
about the CIA detention and interrogation program have been
released. And in an effort to preserve the confidentiality of
released. And in an effort to preserve the confidentiality of
released. And in an effort to preserve the confidentiality of the program, even things you might expect there to be, it would
released. And in an effort to preserve the confidentiality of the program, even things you might expect there to be, it would be significant for the government to be acknowledging that they
released. And in an effort to preserve the confidentiality of the program, even things you might expect there to be, it would be significant for the government to be acknowledging that they do in fact exist.

3	MR. SKINNER: I think the problem would be that this
4	would reveal that this is actually done.
5	THE COURT: That's the point. Because it is part of
6	what I propose to disclose. It is ancillary to the rulings I
7	made on
8	MR. SKINNER: On paragraph five?
9	THE COURT: That's my ruling. I note the objection
10	from the CIA.
11	What's my next one, 70?
12	MR. SKINNER: That's correct, your Honor. Document
13	number 70, this is the last of the three OLC documents that
14	were selected. This is also B1, B3 and B5. I think the
15	easiest way to understand this document
16	THE COURT: Let me read it.
17	(Pause)
18	THE COURT: What is the government's proposal with
19	regard to number 70?
20	MR. SKINNER: Well, your Honor, we intend to withhold
21	this document in full. We can explain the classification
22	issues with this document off the record in greater detail.
23	But the easiest at least for me the easiest way to
24	understand this document as deliberative process. I think that
25	those words indicate that this is or why it would be
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300
	(212) 805-0300
	(212) 805-0300
	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22
	(212) 805-0300 * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE
1	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process.
2	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record)
2 3	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record
2 3 4	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The
2 3 4 5	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for
2 3 4 5 6	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security.
2 3 4 5 6 7	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security. I overrule the objections with respect to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security. I overrule the objections with respect to attorney/client and deliberative privileges, on the basis of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security. I overrule the objections with respect to attorney/client and deliberative privileges, on the basis of National Council of La Raza v. Department of Justice, 411 F.3d
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security. I overrule the objections with respect to attorney/client and deliberative privileges, on the basis of National Council of La Raza v. Department of Justice, 411 F.3d 350, (2d Cir. 2005).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security. I overrule the objections with respect to attorney/client and deliberative privileges, on the basis of National Council of La Raza v. Department of Justice, 411 F.3d 350, (2d Cir. 2005). The document on its face gives proposals of what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security. I overrule the objections with respect to attorney/client and deliberative privileges, on the basis of National Council of La Raza v. Department of Justice, 411 F.3d 350, (2d Cir. 2005). The document on its face gives proposals of what policy is to do, what people are to do in certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security. I overrule the objections with respect to attorney/client and deliberative privileges, on the basis of National Council of La Raza v. Department of Justice, 411 F.3d 350, (2d Cir. 2005). The document on its face gives proposals of what policy is to do, what people are to do in certain circumstances. And therefore, this is a document that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security. I overrule the objections with respect to attorney/client and deliberative privileges, on the basis of National Council of La Raza v. Department of Justice, 411 F.3d 350, (2d Cir. 2005). The document on its face gives proposals of what policy is to do, what people are to do in certain circumstances. And therefore, this is a document that is focused on action by the agency in relationship to what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	* * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security. I overrule the objections with respect to attorney/client and deliberative privileges, on the basis of National Council of La Raza v. Department of Justice, 411 F.3d 350, (2d Cir. 2005). The document on its face gives proposals of what policy is to do, what people are to do in certain circumstances. And therefore, this is a document that is focused on action by the agency in relationship to what arguably might be said to be requests for advice. I do not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(212) 805-0300 * * * * 22 85C3ACLC CONFERENCE deliberative process. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: We've been discussing off the record document number 70. Three objections have been raised. The attorney/client privilege, the deliberative privilege, and for certain aspects of the document, national security. I overrule the objections with respect to attorney/client and deliberative privileges, on the basis of National Council of La Raza v. Department of Justice, 411 F.3d 350, (2d Cir. 2005). The document on its face gives proposals of what policy is to do, what people are to do in certain circumstances. And therefore, this is a document that is focused on action by the agency in relationship to what

18 19	may not have been done, may have been authorized, what may not have been authorized, what may have been the subject of			
20	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
21				
22				
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
24				
25	MR. PETERSON: Certainly, your Honor. Under the			
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.			
	(212) 805-0300			
	* * *			
	23			
	85C3ACLC CONFERENCE			
1	national security privilege we would withhold for the first			
2	paragraph the last word of the second line and the entirety of			
3	lines three and four.			
4	THE COURT: Approved.			
5	MR. PETERSON: For the second paragraph, we would			
6	redact the fourth word of the first line.			
7	THE COURT: Approved.			
8	MR. PETERSON: For the first bullet under paragraph			
9	two, we would redact the last word of the first line, and the			
10	first two words of the second line.			
11	THE COURT: Approved.			
12	MR. LANE: I think you have to redact the caption at			
13	the bottom.			
14	THE COURT: Yes, the caption at the bottom as well.			
15	Approved.			
16	The next document is 303.			
17	MR. SKINNER: Now, we are moving from the OLC			
18	documents to the documents that were retrieved from the OLC			
19	investigative files. We are looking at a document that was			
20	THE COURT: Have we then completed the subject of my			
21	order granting your consideration?			
22	MR. SKINNER: No. That actually concerns item 29			
23	which is the legal memorandum.			
24	THE COURT: Should we do that next?			
25	MR. SKINNER: Which we can do. It is part of document			
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.			
	(212) 805-0300			

24

85C3ACLC

```
2
         THE COURT: Let's do that.
3
         MR. SKINNER: Okay.
4
         MR. PETERSON: It is not identical to what we just
5
    discussed, your Honor, but --
6
         MR. SKINNER: If you want to skip to that, we can.
7
         THE COURT: Let's do that.
8
         MR. SKINNER: We --
9
         THE COURT: Is that hard to do?
10
         MR. SKINNER: No. Just one moment. Your Honor, this
    is item 29 which is the classified August 1, 2002, legal
11
    memorandum. This was the subject of the motion for
12
    reconsideration. It is attached as an appendix to the special
13
    review. This, what you are holding right now, is the final
14
15
    special review report from the Inspector General of the Central
16
    Intelligence Agency.
17
         THE COURT: Which I should not bother with.
18
         MR. SKINNER: This is a draft document. All of number
19
    five you'll see there are line in and line out edits. This is
    the final. This is the final version of the memorandum that
20
21
    was the subject of the motion for reconsideration.
         THE COURT: I can put that away. Put away the large
22
23
    looseleaf binder and look at what Mr. Skinner has just given to
24
    me.
25
         (Pause)
            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                  (212) 805-0300
                                       25
   85C3ACLC
                        CONFERENCE
1
         MR. SKINNER: Just to refresh --
2
         THE COURT: There is a name in the first paragraph.
3
   Is that --
4
         MR. SKINNER: This is how the document was described
5
   on the public record. That's from the eighth Dorn declaration,
6
    the description of item number 29.
7
         THE COURT: There is a name here. Do you want to
8
   redact the name?
9
         MR. PETERSON: Yes, your Honor. We've proposed we
10
    would withhold this document in full.
11
         THE COURT: I know. But I am just looking --
12
         MR. PETERSON: Sure.
         MS. HILTON: His title is on the second line. That's
13
14
    descriptive.
15
         THE COURT: Yes.
         MR. SKINNER: The position, your Honor, is that the
16
```

```
names and titles of CIA employees are withheld under exemption
18
19
          THE COURT: Yes. I accept it.
20
          (Pause)
         THE COURT: We've been exploring off the record
21
22
    document number --
23
         MR. SKINNER: 29, item 29.
24
         THE COURT: With respect to the argument for exemption
25
    based on national security. When we come back, I will make
            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                  (212) 805-0300
                                       26
   85C3ACLC
                        CONFERENCE
   rulings on that issue. And then we will discuss the
1
    applicability of the other two arguments for exemption,
    attorney/client privilege, and deliberative exemption.
3
         MR. SKINNER: That's correct, your Honor.
4
5
         THE COURT: Then move on to other documents. So we'll
6
    recess now. It is a quarter of two. We'll recess until
7
    3 o'clock.
8
         (Recess taken)
9
         THE COURT: It is 3:05 and we'll reconvene. What
10
    we'll do now is summarize the discussions that we had and the
11
    rulings I made on the argument of national security with
12
    respect to the document identified as 29, the memorandum from
13
    Office of Legal Counsel.
14
         So starting with page one, Mr. Peterson.
          MR. PETERSON: Your Honor --
15
16
          THE COURT: One question is the document itself in its
17
    pagination and appearance the same as the document that is part
18
    of this record?
19
          MR. SKINNER: With regard to markings and things like
20
    that?
21
          THE COURT: Not markings, but why don't we look at 29.
22
          MR. SKINNER: You mean --
23
         MR. PETERSON: 29 is not --
24
          MR. SKINNER: It is not part of this binder. It is
25
    separate. We didn't get the order until --
            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                  (212) 805-0300
```

* * *

1 THE COURT: If we refer to the pagination of this final document that you gave to me, what kind of record will we 3 make so the page references and paragraph references can be 4 identified to something that is on the record? 5 MR. SKINNER: Well, I think we can identify it as 6 appendix C to the final special review report. That's what 7 this is. So we know where it appeared and what we were looking 8 at. That is a signed final version of the August 1, 2002, 9 memorandum, and there is not multiple versions of that, and it was printed out and signed and the pagination and paragraphs 11 are the same on all of them. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. PETERSON: On the first page of item 29, under B3, the CIA would redact the name which is in the first line of the 14 title, and the position under the first line. 15 16 THE COURT: So in the caption you would disclose memorandum for, redact the name, and the second line which 17 18 describes the title of that person. 19 MR. PETERSON: That's correct, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Above that would you produce the date? MR. PETERSON: We would release everything above that. 21 22 Those things are not withheld on B1 grounds. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Then I think you are going to 24 redact the subcaptions in italics. MR. PETERSON: That's correct. The last three words 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 28 85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE** would be withheld on B1 grounds, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. PETERSON: Then in the first paragraph, which is 4 an introductory paragraph above a Roman numeral I, the first 5 sentence is not withheld on B1 grounds, and would be released, and the first six words of the second sentence are not withheld 7 on B1 grounds. After those six words, the remainder, which is all of lines four, five, six, seven and eight, and the last 9 half of line three beginning with the word "in," would be 10 redacted and are withheld on B1 grounds. 11 THE COURT: That's correct. 12 MR. PETERSON: Under Roman numeral I, your Honor, 13 starting with the fourth line of that paragraph, the third 14 word, from the third word to the end of the paragraph, would be 15 withheld on B1 grounds, as well as the last paragraph in full.

```
16
         THE COURT: The last paragraph on the page.
17
         MR. PETERSON: On the page, correct.
18
         THE COURT: Yes. Correct.
19
         MR. PETERSON: Then, your Honor, page two is withheld
20
    in full on B1 grounds.
21
         THE COURT: Correct.
22
         MR. PETERSON: Page three is withheld in full on B1
23
    grounds.
24
         THE COURT: Correct.
         MR. PETERSON: Page four is withheld in full on B1
25
            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                 (212) 805-0300
                                      29
   85C3ACLC
                        CONFERENCE
1
   grounds.
2
         THE COURT: Would you produce the caption in number
3
4
         MR. SKINNER: The II.
5
         MR. PETERSON: We can produce just the II, your Honor.
6
         THE COURT: Do that.
7
         MR. PETERSON: Page five is withheld in full on B1
8
    grounds.
9
         THE COURT: Yes.
10
         MR. PETERSON: Page six is withheld in full on B1
11
    grounds.
12
         THE COURT: Yes.
13
         MR. PETERSON: Page seven is withheld in full on B1
14
    grounds.
15
         THE COURT: Yes.
16
         MR. PETERSON: Page eight is withheld in full on B1
    grounds. Page nine, near the top of the page, there is a III.
17
    Beneath that III we would release the first, second, third,
18
19
    fourth, fifth --
20
         THE COURT: You are not committing to release because
21
    we still have two other objections.
22
         MR. PETERSON: That's correct. These are the portions
23
    we would not withhold on B1 grounds.
24
         MR. SKINNER: We are memorializing the judge's rulings
    with regard to the B1 applicability with regard to this
            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                 (212) 805-0300
```

* *

	85C3ACLC	CONFERENCE
1	document.	
2	THE COURT:	Starting with caption III and the material
3	following up to wher	e?
4	MR. PETERSO	ON: The first 10 lines are not withheld on
5	B1 grounds, and the	first half of the 11th line.
6	THE COURT:	Where do you start numbering from?
7	MR. PETERSO	ON: Started at the word that first line
8	under III which begin	ns with the word "section."
9	THE COURT:	You start counting 10 lines from there?
10	MR. PETERSO	ON: Correct.
11	MR. SKINNE	R: Everything up to III, up to the C
12	citation in the follow	ving paragraph.
13	THE COURT:	That's 10 lines plus half the next line?
14	MR. PETERSO	ON: Correct.
15	THE COURT:	Then the citation is redacted.

85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE** your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Correct. MR. PETERSON: Then, the next two sentences are 3 4 withheld on B1 grounds. THE COURT: Next two sentences starting with the 5 6 middle paragraph? MR. PETERSON: With -- in the same paragraph as we're 7 8 continuing on. The next two sentences are withheld --9 THE COURT: Off the record. 10 (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: Mr. Peterson comments that, and I agree, 11 the ruling of permissible redaction goes to the 15th line of 12 the paragraph beginning with the words "as we previously 13 14 explained," that sentence, and the citation that follows may not be redacted on the grounds we've been discussing. Correct? 15 MR. PETERSON: That's correct. That is what you 16 17 ruled, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Yes, okay. Then the next two paragraphs may be redacted. 19 20 MR. PETERSON: That's correct. 21 THE COURT: Now on page 13. 22 MR. PETERSON: This page, your Honor, we withheld in full under exemption B1. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. PETERSON: The same for all of page 14, your SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 34 85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE** 1 Honor. 2 THE COURT: Correct. With the exception of the two 3 middle paragraphs on page 15 --MR. PETERSON: The two partial paragraphs that begin 4 5 the page and end the page are withheld in full on the grounds 6 of exemption B1. 7 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Okay. We're now discussing the two middle paragraphs on the page. For the 9 reasons I mentioned in our off-the-record discussion, I hold provisionally that these two paragraphs may not be covered by 10 the same exemption. The government wishes to submit something 11 to me on this issue, and it may. And you want to take it from 12 there, Mr. Skinner? 13

CONFERENCE 85C3ACLC logistics.

THE COURT: In any event, it would be a separate part of the record covered by such classification as we consider appropriate at the time.

MR. PETERSON: Can I raise one issue, your Honor?

6 THE COURT: Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

7

8 9

10

MR. PETERSON: There were two sentences -- well, there is one sentence in the first of those two middle paragraphs, there was one sentence that you had previously held properly withheld.

THE COURT: Sentence three. 11

12 MR. PETERSON: Correct. Sentence three in its 13 entirety. So our filing will not address that sentence.

14 THE COURT: That's correct.

MR. PETERSON: Then as to the next paragraph, 15 beginning in the sixth line after the words "based on," the 16

17 remainder of that sentence down to the comma would be withheld 18 on B1 grounds.

19 THE COURT: Well, after "based on," the balance of 20 that line, which is the sixth line, all the seventh line, all

of the eighth line, all of the ninth line, and so much of the 21

10th line through the comma at the end of the line or almost at 22

the end of the line could be redacted, and beginning with the 23

word "no" on that line, and the next two lines, could not be 24

25 withheld.

3

6

7

8

9

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

37

85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE**

1 MR. PETERSON: Okay.

2 THE COURT: On the grounds we've been discussing.

MR. PETERSON: Thank you.

4 THE COURT: The balance of page 15, that is the bottom 5 paragraph going on to page 16, may be redacted.

MR. PETERSON: On page 16, your Honor, the partial paragraph at the top of the page as well as the first full paragraph are withheld in full on B1 grounds.

THE COURT: Yes.

10 MR. PETERSON: You ruled previously that the entirety of the second full paragraph as well as the first two sentences 11 of the third full paragraph may not be withheld on B1 grounds.

```
13
         THE COURT: Give me that again, please?
14
         MR. PETERSON: The entirety of the second full
15
    paragraph.
16
         THE COURT: Beginning with the words --
         MR. PETERSON: Beginning with the words "specific."
17
18
         THE COURT: Okay.
19
         MR. PETERSON: And the first two lines of the third
20
    full paragraph, beginning, the first sentence begins with the
    words "based on," the second sentence begins with the word
21
22
    "the," that those two sentences may not be withheld on B1
23
    grounds. The balance --
24
         THE COURT: We're in the middle paragraph beginning
25
    with the word "specific."
           SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                 (212) 805-0300
                                      38
   85C3ACLC
                       CONFERENCE
1
         MR. PETERSON: That whole paragraph may not be
2
   withheld on B1 grounds.
3
         THE COURT: Below that.
         MR. PETERSON: Below that the first two sentences of
4
5
   the third full paragraph.
         THE COURT: May not be withheld.
6
7
         MR. PETERSON: On B1 grounds.
         THE COURT: And the balance may be.
8
         MR. PETERSON: The balance of the page may be.
9
10
         THE COURT: Correct.
11
         MR. PETERSON: On page 17, the partial paragraph at
    the top of the page may be withheld on B1 grounds.
12
13
         THE COURT: Yes.
14
         MR. PETERSON: The second paragraph in full may not be
15
    withheld on B1 grounds.
16
         THE COURT: Beginning with the word "furthermore."
         MR. PETERSON: Correct. Beginning with word
17
    "furthermore."
18
19
         THE COURT: Yes.
20
         MR. PETERSON: In terms of the next paragraph, the
    second full paragraph, the first eight words beginning with --
21
22
    the first eight words of that sentence may be withheld on B1
23
    grounds.
24
         THE COURT: So beginning with "have indicated."
25
         MR. PETERSON: It may not be. For the balance of that
           SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                 (212) 805-0300
```

85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE**

line, the second, third --

2 THE COURT: And just a minute. Redaction may cover 3 all but the last two words of the line, and the balance of the

line and the second line, the third line, the fourth line, and 5 the first word of the fifth line may not be redacted.

MR. PETERSON: That's correct, your Honor. The remainder of that paragraph as well as the last paragraph on the page, which is a partial paragraph, are withheld on B1 grounds.

10 THE COURT: Correct.

6 7

8

9

19

1

MR. PETERSON: Page 18, which is the last page of the 11 12 memorandum partial paragraph at the top of the page is withheld

13 in full on B1 grounds. In terms of the first full paragraph,

14 the only portion of that paragraph which is not withheld on B1

grounds begins with the second sentence starting with the word 15

16 "you're." Through the balance of that sentence up to the word

17 "exception of." That portion of the paragraph may not be

withheld on B1 grounds. 18

THE COURT: Correct.

20 MR. PETERSON: The balance of the paragraph may be 21 withheld.

22 THE COURT: Correct. That's correct.

23 MR. PETERSON: The remainder of the page, the

remainder of the written page, other than the signature block,

25 may be withheld in full on B1 grounds.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

40

85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE**

THE COURT: Correct. Now, let's go back to the same document and argue the attorney/client and the deliberative 3 privilege.

4 It seems to me, Mr. Skinner, that the same arguments 5 apply as were addressed with the previous documents. And for the same reasons as I expressed then and as I wrote in my order

- of May 8, 2008, relying on the Second Circuit decision in
- National Council of La Raza v. Department of Justice, the
- attorney/client privilege and the deliberative privilege should
- 10 not be applicable. The government continues in its argument,
- 11 and so I overrule your objection.

12	MR. SKINNER: Our Honor, our position I think is fully
13	outlined in our opposition to plaintiffs' motion for
14	reconsideration. We feel that the adoption reasoning under La
15	Raza is not applicable to this particular document.
16	The broad brush highlights of our argument are that
17	what has been acknowledged is that the Department of Justice
18	has approved interrogation techniques that were used by the
19	Central Intelligence Agency. The specific legal reasoning in
20	this particular memorandum was never publicly acknowledged by
21	any policy maker within the Central Intelligence Agency.
22	Unlike La Raza, where the individuals had publicly stated
23	repeatedly that they were making a policy change because of the
24	legal reasons outlined in an OLC memorandum, here we merely
25	have an acknowledgment that techniques were approved. It is
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	(212) 805-0300

41

85C3ACLC CONFERENCE

- 1 much more general and vague. It is not linked to this specific
- 2 memo. Indeed, this memo very well may address a number of
- 3 techniques that the policy makers at the Central Intelligence
- 4 Agency, when determining what techniques they were going to
- 5 authorize people to us

	4
85C3ACLC	CONFERENCE

MR. SKINNER: Under the theory it was adopted as --1

THE COURT: Under the theory I just expressed.

3 MR. SKINNER: Okay.

4 THE COURT: The next thing we are going to do is

5 document number what?

MR. SKINNER: We are now up to document number 303.

42

7 We've done these first OIC documents. This is a cable. On the 8

public record we have acknowledged the date of the cable, which

is August 4, 2002. We've also acknowledged it is a six-page 9

document. It is our intention to withhold this document in 10

full. We believe the -- you can correct me if I am wrong, I 11

12 believe we feel the entire document is protected under the B1

13 and B3 exemptions. I think a perusal of it will reveal it is

operational information. 14

15 (Pause)

2

6

17

16 THE COURT: I agree.

MR. SKINNER: The next document, your Honor, is

18 document 541. This is an e-mail string between CIA officers.

We dated it July 29, 2002. There is a two-page e-mail and then 19

it attaches a cable from the field. And we withheld the 20

21 document in it entirety under B1, B2, and B3.

22

23 MS. HILTON: This is akin to and includes operational

24 cable and the same type of internal information.

THE COURT: Off the record. 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

43

85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE**

1 (Discussion off the record)

2 THE COURT: I approve.

3 MR. SKINNER: The next document, your Honor, is

document number 23, which is an interview report, documenting

the interview of a CIA officer. We've acknowledged publicly

6 the date of the document, which is March 3, 2003, and that it

is a six-page document. We feel the document is withheld in

8 full under exemptions B1 and B3.

9 THE COURT: What have you acknowledged?

10 MR. SKINNER: We have acknowledged the date of the

11 document and the number of pages. THE COURT: Acknowledged in the Vaughn declaration? 12 13 MR. SKINNER: That's correct, your Honor, in the 14 Vaughn declaration. 15 (Pause) THE COURT: Approved. 16 17 MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, now we are moving into the 18 final category of document which are what were described in the eighth -- in the seventh Vaughn declaration as the other 19 20 documents. We are starting with document number one. 21 THE COURT: First one I have is three. 22 MS. HILTON: The tab says three. 23 MR. SKINNER: This is number one. 24 MS. HILTON: Yes. 25 THE COURT: I have it. Thank you. Yes. SOUTHERN DISTRICTs6p.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

* * *

45

	85C3ACLC CONFERENCE	
1	MR. PETERSON: That's correct, your Honor.	Our
2	position is it is predecisional, because it is requesting	that
3	the decisions or changes be made and reveals internal	
4	deliberations between the director and the Inspector C	General
5	THE COURT: I don't know. I think there are	

```
10
          MR. PETERSON: 0000425.
11
          MS. HILTON: The Bates.
12
         MR. SKINNER: I'm sorry.
13
         THE COURT: There is an abbreviation in paragraph
14
    number three, line three.
          MR. SKINNER: In the language we propose?
15
16
          THE COURT: Is there any definition of that?
17
          MR. PETERSON: Within the parenthesis at the
18
    beginning, your Honor?
19
          MR. SKINNER: I think he means this.
20
          THE COURT: Yes.
21
         MR. SKINNER: I don't believe it is defined in the
22
    document. We can tell you what that typically stands for.
23
          THE COURT: Go ahead.
          MS. HILTON: "High value detainee." That's the phrase
24
25
    that is frequently used to distinguish the more senior
            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                  (212) 805-0300
                                        47
   85C3ACLC
                         CONFERENCE
1
    detainees.
2
         THE COURT: Okay.
3
         (Pause)
4
         THE COURT: Page two, that same page, what is the
   justification for redacting paragraph four? Seems to me all
6
    information has been made public.
7
         MR. PETERSON: Your Honor, if you'll actually, I think
8
    the necessary context for that is on the last page. The last
9
    sentence of the last page of this document specifically shows
10
    that this is a forward-looking document that is actually a
11
    request for consideration by policy makers of a particular
    policy action. So paragraph four is primarily withheld on the
12
13
    basis of the deliberative process privilege. As you can see,
14
    the title of paragraph four, which is explained in the first
15
    sentence is --
16
          THE COURT: Yes.
17
          MR. PETERSON: This is the justification for the
18
    seeking of the review of a particular policy. So these outline
    the reasons of the memo's author for seeking policy
19
20
    consideration of a particular action. So it is our opinion
21
    that this is predecisional and deliberative information.
22
          THE COURT: I approve. Next?
23
          MR. SKINNER: The next document, your Honor, is a
    document number 5. It is a draft version of what eventually
```

25 became the special review report which is this bound document SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

* * *

48

85C3ACLC	CONFERENCE

- 1 we have separately. I think if you look at that quickly, it
- will show that the document is literally a black line or red
- 3 line showing proposed edits to what will become the final
- 4 version.
- 5 THE COURT: Show me.
- 6 MR. SKINNER: Such as this. So this is an early
- 7 version of this document.
- 8 THE COURT: So since we have the later version to
- 9 consider, I don't need to consider the draft.
- MR. SKINNER: That would be our position. That the
- 11 draft is properly withheld in full.
- 12 THE COURT: I agree.
- MR. SKINNER: We have the final version with us.
- 14 THE COURT: I agree. When would it be appropriate for
- 15 us to look at the final version?
- MR. SKINNER: If you want to right now. We propose
- 17 releasing a redacted version of that document and we brought
- 18 the redacted version with us.
- 19 THE COURT: Fine. Let me look at that.
- MR. SKINNER: Let me show you -- so what you have
- 21 here, your Honor, is this is a photocopy of this bound version.
- 22 As with --
- THE COURT: Identify what this is.
- MR. SKINNER: This is the final report of the Office
- 25 of Inspector General with regard to -- dated May 7 of 2004, and SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

49

85C3ACLC CONFERENCE

- 1 it is a report of a review of counter-terrorism detention and
- 2 interrogation activities at the Office of the Inspector General
- 3 of the Central Intelligence Agency, and it covers the period
- 4 September 2001 to October 2003. As you'll see, we propose
- 5 releasing that information from the cover. We propose
- 6 releasing this information from the table of contents.
- 7 THE COURT: I can look.
- 8 MR. SKINNER: Then I think you'll see that generally

9	speaking, the released information later in the document is in		
10	the sections where we have released the header from the table		
11	of contents. And the reason for the release of that		
12			
13	already acknowledged in the public record.		
14	THE COURT: What is the argument for not releasing the		
15	captions appearing in the redacted versions of the table of		
16	contents?		
17	MR. SKINNER: Well, your Honor, I think this actually,		
18	initially at least, this would mirror the same arguments that		
19	we had with regard to some of the OLC documents before. There		
20			
21	exists. I mean, again we could discuss it more easily off the		
22	record.		
23	THE COURT: I understand.		
24	MS. HILTON: Normally		
25	MR. SKINNER: It is the same justifications as with		
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.		
	(212) 805-0300		
	* * *		
	50		
	85C3ACLC CONFERENCE		
1	regard to the earlier documents we've looked at.		
2	MS. HILTON: The justification on the table of		
3	contents is that normally when we don't release any of the		
4	text, we don't release the headers as listed in the table of		
5	contents.		
6	MR. SKINNER: Well, the headers themselves in this		
7	situation reveal substantive information		
8	MS. HILTON: It is classified.		
9	THE COURT: I think we need more of a discussion on		
10	this.		
11	MS. HILTON: You want may want to look at those actual		
12	sections.		
13	THE COURT: I'm proposing to rule regardless of what I		
14	see in the sections themselves. The description of the		
15	sections in my opinion do not appear to merit		
16	MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, we could give you additional		
17	information with regard to the classification of these issues.		
18	THE COURT: Let's have a discussion off the record.		
19	MR. PETERSON: Because the justification for why we		
20	would withhold this sort of second section of these is		
21	different from the sort of first section, the section that		
22 23	begins with page three. THE COURT: I can understand that. I can understand		

24	that. For example, at the top of the page, following the word
25	"specific," there are several subheadings. It seems to me,
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	(212) 805-0300
	* * *
	51
	85C3ACLC CONFERENCE
1	given our previous discussion, I should sustain an argument of
2	exemption on national security grounds.
3	MR. PETERSON: That's correct, your Honor. That's our
4	position.
5	THE COURT: And I hold that again.
6	MR. PETERSON: That's also, on page small Roman
7	numeral i, at the bottom of that page, the subheadings if you
8	look starting where they begin with page 33
9	THE COURT: Yes, I agree.
10	MR. PETERSON: Okay. On all of those redactions to
11	the end of the page.
12	THE COURT: I agree.
13	MR. PETERSON: Thank you, your Honor.
14	MR. SKINNER: We could explain
15	THE COURT: What I'm proposing now is the first six
16	lines of the proposed redaction should be, in my opinion, not
17	redacted. The balance of the redactions I approve.
18	MR. SKINNER: We can discuss those further off the
19	record if you wish, your Honor, and explain our reasoning as to
20	why that would threaten intelligence sources and methods as
21	well as national security.
22	THE COURT: Right. And on page two, I approve all of
23	that that is proposed to be redacted. At page iii.
24	MR. SKINNER: Yes, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: The indented top two lines may be
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

~ ~

(212) 805-0300

52

85C3ACLC CONFERENCE

- 1 redacted. The rest of that top redaction I think should not be
- 2 redacted.

8	THE COURT: Except for the name and title.
9	MR. PETERSON: The portion of the title that we are
10	redacting from
11	MR. SKINNER: As far as the fact it is a memorandum
12	and we've acknowledged on the record it is the same memorandum
13	that we previously identified as item 29.
14	THE COURT: So item B will be released. Item number
15	C, except with the name and title.
16	MR. SKINNER: Item B we could not release that, and I
17	think the reasoning actually would be that because it is this
18	type of document, if you then went to the appendix itself, you
19	might be able to glean information about CIA operations simply
20	by looking at the length of the document.
21	THE COURT: I don't accept that. Is that appendix
22	here?
23	
24	MR. SKINNER: Here it is in unredacted form. If you
25	know what kind of document this is, and you know the length of
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	(212) 805-0300
	* * *
	53
	85C3ACLC CONFERENCE
1	the document, then you can glean information about the CIA's
2	program.
3	THE COURT: Well, first of all we are only talking
4	about a chronology. We are not talking about any specific
5	chronology.
6	MR. SKINNER: That word was what we were trying to
7	protect.
8	THE COURT: I don't see it.
9	MR. SKINNER: Is there additional information we could
10	provide off the record?
11	THE COURT: I think we need an off-the-record
12	discussion.
13	(Discussion off the record)
14	THE COURT: We've had a discussion off the record.
15	I'm convinced that the redactions on page i are correct on
16	national security grounds. And the same is true with respect
17	to ii. And on iii, the redactions should begin with category C
18	in the appendices, not category B. Otherwise approved. And IV
19	I approve.
20	MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, within the sections where
21	we've redacted the headers, you'll see there is information
22	released in those sections as well. If we've redacted the

7 methods, and goes beyond the information that has been

23	any compromise of national security.
24	MR. SKINNER: With the exception of those three words.
25	THE COURT: I didn't require them.
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	(212) 805-0300
	* * *
	57
	85C3ACLC CONFERENCE
1	MR. SKINNER: I wanted to make sure I was clear.
2	THE COURT: Let me repeat. The first line after the
3	parenthesis would be produced. The second line, the first
4	three words can be redacted. In the third line, the second,
5	third and fourth word can be redacted. And the fourth line can
6	be redacted.
7	MR. SKINNER: This is what we spoke about off the
8	record earlier.
9	THE COURT: Yes, okay.
10	MR. SKINNER: Appendix C we've already covered in some
11	detail today.
12	THE COURT: So that finishes the document. I'm giving
13	it back.
14	MR. SKINNER: With regard to our proposed redactions
15	to the final special review report with the exception of what
16	your Honor has just noted, otherwise the redactions are
17	approved by the Court?
18	THE COURT: They are.
19	MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, turning back then to the
20	looseleaf binder with the 24 documents in it, we're now at
21	document number seven, which is the other document number
22	seven. This is similar to this is the proposed redaction
23	that we would release. Otherwise, our position is that these
24	are comments, more comments from another component of the CIA
25	on the OIG report. So with the exception of that information,
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	(212) 805-0300
	* * *
	58
	85C3ACLC CONFERENCE
1	we would be withholding the document in its entirety.
2	THE COURT: I approve.
3	MR. SKINNER: The next document, document number 21,
4	this is as we have explained in the public Vaughn, it is a
5	summary of various cables that were received from the field.

THE COURT: I think it is a fact that can't lead to

22

- sideways, it is a copy of the first page, but there was a Post-it note attached to that first page. That copy is simply to capture the information that was on the Post-it attached to the document as found.

- 5 but the information itself is national security and
- 6 intelligence sources and methods.
- 7 THE COURT: I approve.
- 8 MR. SKINNER: We are now on document 111, your Honor.
- 9 It is a four-page document. It is not dated.
- 10 THE COURT: I approve.
- 11 MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, 113 is a five-page document.
- 12 It is -- I think if you look at it, the contents are fairly
- 13 obvious.
- 14 THE COURT: Approve.
- MR. SKINNER: We are now on 113 -- excuse me. 131.

produce her transcript. It would be good if it could be done 20 21 as quickly as possible. 22 MR. SKINNER: As we discussed previously, none of us 23 think there are any problems with what was said. We would like to put this through classification review to make sure no 24 25 information was inadvertently put through to the public. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 63 85C3ACLC **CONFERENCE** THE COURT: Would you mind if I had a look at it 1 2 first? 3 MR. SKINNER: Absolutely, your Honor. I guess after 4 your Honor has reviewed it, we can get it through the review 5 process as soon as possible. 6 We have the redactions we were going to release to the

We have the redactions we were going to release to the plaintiffs. Would you like us to hold the release of these documents until we've resolved whatever additional filings we are going to make or do you want us to release what we have?

THE COURT: Why don't you release what you have and your cover letter would say "subject to further rulings by the Court."

MR. SKINNER: Okay. We can take care of that, your
Honor. The only other open issue, your Honor, is in a letter
we had written to you in April we had noted that we did not
find, as described in that letter, any videotapes or
transcripts that you had asked us to look for.

18 THE COURT: Let me bring Sheila back in.

7

8

9

10

11

12

19 Let me do a summary of where I recall we are. Just

19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THE COURT: I've already made rulings today, and I was given that particular subject, and upheld the claim of exemption. So I don't see the point. I think what I was interested in was knowing more about the facts and circumstances of the destruction of documents. As I remember, and my memory is not completely clear on this, I was interested in knowing more about the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300
	* * *
	66
	85C3ACLC CONFERENCE
1	destruction of information that supposedly should have been
2	produced. I was told that the Attorney General had instituted
3	an investigation into that very same subject.
4	(Discussion off the record)
5	THE COURT: I don't remember what happened next.
6	Whether I ruled on the subject or made inquiry on the subject.
7	Mr. Skinner or Mr. Lane, do you remember?
8	MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I don't believe you ruled on
9	the subject of the destruction of the videotapes, which is what
10	we are talking about, or made, aside from asking whether there
11	were other tapes or transcripts, made any inquiry. And our
12	response was what we found are these reports.
13	Your Honor is correct, we would be holding national
14	reports which summarize interrogations in their entirety.
15	They're very similar to the cables that you reviewed today.
16	But that's the extent of my understanding of what's happened
17	since we were before your Honor in January.
18	MR. LANE: That's correct, your Honor. We had
19	argument and we've had various letters trying to update the
20	Court on exactly what information we have and just to keep the
21	Court updated on that aspect.
22	MR. SKINNER: In the criminal investigation, which is
23	being led by John Durham from the United States Attorney's
24	Office in Connecticut is still ongoing.
25	THE COURT: Do you know if Mr. Durham has within the
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
010\ 00	(212) 805-0300
	05-i0/4MCID 37 BDC -0.0001 58n2Nb-0.0805-030T*βsssss
s 1 quM	IC 7.43e and' 21 Court lieve you ruled on

- Court in January, Mr. Durham is considering whether any orders
 issued in this case

18 addition we had intended to address the number of videotapes

19 which you've ordered us to disclose, which we feel is also

20 protected by national security concerns as well as the

21 intelligence sources and methods.

THE COURT: I can tell you that one of my points of interest was the proceedings that were in January.

Now, let's go through and say we've accomplished all this. Is there anything else that's open before me or can we SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

* * *

69

85C3ACLC CONFERENCE

1 close these cases?

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18 MR. LANE: I think, your Honor, taking the big picture view, I think the Department of Defense is -- I shouldn't say this -- knock wood -- is complete. The only thing that is left for the Department of Defense is there is one matter sub judice with the circuit.

THE COURT: What is that?

MR. LANE: That's the issue of the 7F invocation as to photographs. I think that has been before the Second Circuit for more than a year, for about a year and a half. I don't think they are finding it any easier than your Honor did. And somebody who argued it took several exacerbated questions on subject.

The DOD is releasing some other reports, CID reports, which we've been doing on a regular basis for many years and we are going to keep doing that. We've never had an objection to what the Army has actually redacted in those reports, and we are going to keep doing that. So I think that's DOD.

The only other two agencies are CIA, which we just discussed, and then there was one pending motion that related to OLC that was filed in November. That was a motion for an order to show cause saying there were certain documents that should be included in this case, and we filed an opposition saying they were outside the scope of this case because they were much later in time. And that's still before the Court and

- motions. So probably THE LAW CLERK: That was a motion for contempt?